



METROPOLITAN
TRANSPORTATION
COMMISSION

Joseph P. Bort MetroCenter
101 Eighth Street
Oakland, CA 94607-4700
TEL 510.817.5700
TDD/TTY 510.817.5769
FAX 510.817.5848
E-MAIL info@mtc.ca.gov
WEB www.mtc.ca.gov

Memorandum

TO: Partnership Technical Advisory Committee

DATE: May 21, 2007

FR: Sri Srinivasan

RE: MTC Proposed Call for Projects for P-TAP Round-9

Call for Projects for P-TAP Round 9:

MTC staff seeks the Working Group's feedback on proposed changes for Pavement Management Technical Assistance Program (P-TAP) Round-9.

Background on P-TAP:

To receive funds for pavement maintenance and rehabilitation projects through California's State Transportation Improvement Program (STIP), a local jurisdiction is required under State Highway Code Section 2108.1 to develop and periodically update a certified Pavement Management System (PMS). The Pavement Management Technical Assistance Program was created to provide Bay Area cities and counties with consultant assistance for implementing or maintaining a pavement management system through roadway condition assessments. P-TAP is funded by federal STP funds that require collecting a non-federal match.

Highlighted Changes to P-TAP Round-9:

Staff is proposing the following changes to the Call for Projects for P-TAP Round-9 as compared to previous P-TAP Call for projects. Significant changes to P-TAP are outlined below.

- **Local Contribution**

P-TAP has been a huge success over the years, with jurisdictions submitting more applications for participation in the program than can be funded. To help ensure that those jurisdictions that truly need and want the assistance can receive funding, as well as to provide additional services through the P-TAP program, the project sponsor will be required to provide a local non-federal contribution of 20 percent of the grant project amount. Of this amount, 11.47 percent will be counted as the match to the federal funds.

- **StreetSaver Subscription**

MTC provides a standardized PMS called StreetSaver that was developed in house. In order to maintain and sustain StreetSaver, MTC charges the local jurisdictions a subsidized annual fee of \$1,000. Because it is difficult for some jurisdictions to pay the annual fee, some have opted in the past to use the local contribution portion of the grant money for P-TAP to pay for the software as part of the consultant services. Now that 108 of 109 local jurisdictions in the MTC region use StreetSaver as their Pavement Management System, a two or three-year subscription to the online version of StreetSaver will be included in P-TAP. This will

Partnership Technical Advisory Committee

May 21, 2007

Page 2 of 2

facilitate the processing of StreetSaver accounts, by providing multi-year, rather than annual purchase arrangements, as well as ease the accounting burden for jurisdictions by having a single transaction for both P-TAP and StreetSaver. This will also help cities that currently use older versions of the system to upgrade to the latest on-line version.

- **Minimum/Maximum**

To allow jurisdictions to have more of their streets assessed under P-TAP and provide other efficiencies, the minimum amount to be awarded will be \$7,500 with a cap of \$40,000 (in previous programs there was no minimum and the cap was \$25,000).

- **Local Streets and Roads Revenue Survey**

Every few years, MTC requests local jurisdictions to complete a Local Streets and Roads Revenue Survey. The information from the survey is used to comply with federal financial constraint requirements for the Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) and Regional Transportation Program (RTP), as well as for regional advocacy for Local Streets and Roads funding. The data from this survey is used in updating the needs assessment for Local Streets and roads. The needs assessment is vital to maintain existing levels of funding, as well as securing additional funding. Because of the importance of the revenue survey, only jurisdictions that have satisfactorily completed the latest needs assessment survey will be eligible for P-TAP funding.

- **Timely Submittal of Local Contribution**

In the past, MTC has had difficulty receiving the contribution from local jurisdictions. Since the majority of the program is funded with federal funds, it is crucial that the required match is received. Therefore, jurisdictions that have not submitted their required contribution by the established deadline will be subject to removal from the program. MTC will then fund the next applicant(s) on the list.

For your information, a list of P-TAP recipients from Round-8 is attached. Also, the draft application has been included to solicit feedback.

The Local Streets and Roads Working group may want to consider additional funding for P-TAP in the reauthorization of SAFETEA.

P-TAP RECIPIENTS - ROUNDS 1 - 8

Attachment 1

ALAMEDA COUNTY

No.	Jurisdiction	Round(s)	PTAP Funds
1	Alameda County	4,7	\$ 39,839
2	Alameda	1,5,8	\$ 64,535
3	Albany	3,5	\$ 27,975
4	Berkeley	4	\$ 15,670
5	Dublin	1,4,6,8	\$ 74,622
6	Emeryville	1,7	\$ 9,502
7	Fremont	3,5	\$ 48,692
8	Hayward	2,3,6	\$ 69,847
9	Livermore	3,5,7	\$ 47,762
10	Newark	5,7,8	\$ 61,972
11	Oakland	3,5,6	\$ 71,095
12	Piedmont	1,4,6,8	\$ 43,446
13	Pleasanton	3,6,7	\$ 63,299
14	San Leandro	2,3,5,7	\$ 68,965
15	Union City	8	\$ 22,133

CONTRA COSTA COUNTY

No.	Jurisdiction	Round(s)	PTAP Funds
16	Contra Costa Co.	5	\$ 17,706
17	Antioch	2,4,8	\$ 53,069
18	Brentwood	3,8	\$ 53,119
19	Clayton	3,7	\$ 25,107
20	Concord	8	\$ 22,133
21	Danville	2,3,8	\$ 65,420
22	El Cerrito	3,5	\$ 41,786
23	Hercules	1,6,8	\$ 43,305
24	Lafayette	1,5	\$ 30,936
25	Martinez	2,4,5,8	\$ 64,535
26	Moraga	8	\$ 14,076
27	Oakley	2,8	\$ 38,712
28	Orinda	2,6	\$ 42,860
29	Pinole	3,7	\$ 35,766
30	Pittsburg	2,4,6,8	\$ 84,100
31	Pleasant Hill	2,7	\$ 36,245
32	Richmond	2,3,5,7	\$ 79,150
33	San Pablo	1,4	\$ 22,671
34	San Ramon	6,8	\$ 44,265
35	Walnut Creek	7	\$ 22,133

MARIN COUNTY

No.	Jurisdiction	Round(s)	PTAP Funds
36	Marin County	4,8	\$ 38,865
37	Belvedere	1,4,7	\$ 9,661
38	Corte Madera	2	\$ 5,954
39	Fairfax	1,4,6,8	\$ 30,210
40	Larkspur	2,5,7	\$ 34,482
41	Mill Valley	1,4,6,8	\$ 52,842
42	Novato	3,4,7	\$ 55,774
43	Ross	1,4,7	\$ 11,276
44	San Anselmo	2,3,8	\$ 34,051
45	San Rafael	3,5	\$ 33,641
46	Sausalito	3,6	\$ 16,732
47	Tiburon	2,6,7	\$ 59,804

NAPA COUNTY

No.	Jurisdiction	Round(s)	PTAP Funds
48	American Canyon	7	\$ 5,949
49	Calistoga	1,4,5,6,8	\$ 25,105
50	Napa	8	\$ 22,133
51	Napa County	1,4,6	\$ 53,069
52	St. Helena	8	\$ 6,905
53	Yountville	1,7	\$ 3,665

SAN FRANCISCO COUNTY

No.	Jurisdiction	Round(s)	PTAP Funds
54	City/County of SF	3,4,5,6	\$ 79,585

SANTA CLARA COUNTY

No.	Jurisdiction	Round(s)	PTAP Funds
55	Santa Clara Co.	3,4,6	\$ 43,134
56	Campbell	2,5,7,8	\$ 75,178
57	Cupertino	2,4,7	\$ 53,999
58	Gilroy	3,6	\$ 53,119
59	Los Altos	2,6,7	\$ 55,815
60	Los Altos Hills	1,5,7	\$ 42,704
61	Los Gatos	2,4,5,7	\$ 77,473
62	Milpitas	2,3,6,8	\$ 84,897
63	Monte Sereno	1,3,5,7	\$ 23,416
64	Morgan Hill	2,4,7	\$ 56,557
65	Mountain View	3,7	\$ 38,068
66	Palo Alto	8	\$ 22,133
67	San Jose	3,5,8	\$ 70,825
68	Santa Clara	3,7	\$ 53,119
69	Saratoga	3,6,8	\$ 71,480
70	Sunnyvale	6,8	\$ 44,265

SAN MATEO COUNTY

No.	Jurisdiction	Round(s)	PTAP Funds
71	San Mateo Co.	3,5,6	\$ 61,972
72	Atherton	2,5,7	\$ 38,379
73	Belmont	2,4,6,8	\$ 67,938
74	Brisbane	2,5,6,7	\$ 35,837
75	Burlingame	4,6,8	\$ 61,617
76	Colma	2,5,7	\$ 7,811
77	Daly City	1,4,7	\$ 56,124
78	E. Palo Alto	1,4,6,8	\$ 39,931
79	Foster City	2,4,6,7	\$ 38,002
80	Half Moon Bay	3,6	\$ 21,425
81	Hillsborough	1,5,8	\$ 57,300
82	Menlo Park	4,7,8	\$ 49,136
83	Millbrae	4,5,7	\$ 35,114
84	Pacifica	2,3,7	\$ 65,437
85	Portola Valley	2,5,7,8	\$ 38,117
86	Redwood City	2,4,6,8	\$ 71,562
87	San Bruno	4,7	\$ 39,839
88	San Carlos	1,4	\$ 33,141
89	San Mateo	3,7	\$ 45,151
90	So. S.F.	1,3,6	\$ 69,847
91	Woodside	1,4,6,8	\$ 47,812

SOLANO COUNTY

No.	Jurisdiction	Round(s)	PTAP Funds
92	Benicia	1,4,6	\$ 32,333
93	Dixon	1,4,6,8	\$ 43,265
94	Fairfield	5,7	\$ 39,839
95	Rio Vista	4,7	\$ 13,014
96	Suisun City	1,4,8	\$ 52,573
97	Vacaville	2,7	\$ 46,697
98	Vallejo	6,7	\$ 32,756

SONOMA COUNTY

No.	Jurisdiction	Round(s)	PTAP Funds
99	Sonoma Co.	5,6	\$ 39,839
100	Cloverdale	1,4	\$ 6,374
101	Cotati	2	\$ 5,513
102	Healdsburg	6	\$ 11,420
103	Petaluma	3,5,7,8	\$ 92,957
104	Rohnert Park	1,4	\$ 36,228
105	Santa Rosa	6	\$ 22,133
106	Sebastopol	2,4,8	\$ 18,041
107	Sonoma	1,4,7	\$ 24,275
108	Windsor	2,5,7	\$ 52,975

RATING CRITERIA FOR P-TAP 9 PROJECTS

<u>No.</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Score Range</u>
1	<u>Scope of Work Requested</u> <i>Jurisdictions applying for reinspection-type projects will receive higher scores.</i>	10 to 15 points
2	<u>Centerline Miles</u> <i>Jurisdictions with fewer centerline miles will receive higher scores.</i>	5 to 15 points
3	<u>Prior P-TAP Recipient</u> <i>Jurisdictions who have never received P-TAP funds in the past will receive higher scores.</i>	5 to 15 points
4	<u>Certification Status</u> <i>Jurisdictions without current PMP certification will receive higher scores.</i>	10 to 20 points
5	<u>Amount of Funds Requested</u> <i>Jurisdictions requesting less funding for projects will receive higher scores.</i>	10 to 15 points
6	<u>Preventative Maintenance</u> <i>Jurisdictions with strong preventative maintenance programs in place will receive higher scores.</i>	0 to 20 points
	Maximum Score	100 points
*BONUS	<u>LS&R Needs and Revenue Survey</u> <i>Jurisdictions who turned in their surveys to MTC will receive five bonus points.</i>	5 points
	<u>LS&R Needs and Revenue Survey with Useable Data</u> <i>Jurisdictions who turned in their surveys to MTC with valid data will receive an additional five bonus points</i>	5 points

METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION
PAVEMENT MANAGEMENT TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM (P-TAP)
ROUND 9 APPLICATION FORM

Deadline: June 22nd, 2007

1. Name of Jurisdiction:

Address:

2. Contact Person #1:

Name:

Title:

Phone:

Fax:

Email:

Contact Person #2:

Name:

Title:

Phone:

Fax:

Email:

JURISDICTION INFORMATION

3. Total number of centerline miles within jurisdiction: _____

4. Number of full time engineering staff on payroll: _____

5. Number of staff working with pavement management: _____

a. If using the MTC PMS, have staff attended training & user week mtgs? _____

b. Training classes staff received: _____

When? _____

c. Last User Meeting Attended: _____

6. 2006-2007 Pavement Maintenance Budget (incl. engineering, labor, & materials): \$ _____

Breakdown

a. Sealing and Patching (incl. Crack, Slurry, Chip, and Cape seals): \$ _____

b. Overlays: \$ _____

c. Reconstruction: \$ _____

d. Stop-gap (e.g. pothole patching): \$ _____

7. 2006-2007 Preventive Maintenance Budget (any treatment on a road w/ a PCI>70) \$ _____

PAVEMENT MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

8. Have you acquired MTC Pavement Management System? Yes _____ No _____ (If No, go to #9)

a. What version? (incl. Service release #) _____

b. Last network inspection completed in what year? _____

I. Percent of network inspected? _____ %

II. If a reinspection cycle is established, what is it? _____

c. Level of information revised last in what year? _____

I. Inspection data input (no PCIs determined) _____

II. Applied pavement treatment date in PMS _____

III. PCIs calculated _____

IV. Budget Options Report completed _____

9. If not using MTC PMS, what software are you currently using? _____

10. Have you utilized PMS consultants before? Yes _____ No _____

- If yes, which consultant? _____

- Do you have any preference for your consultant? Yes _____ No _____

- If yes, which consultant? _____

11. Do you have a digital map (e.g. GIS) of your jurisdiction? Yes _____ No _____

- If yes, what format? _____

12. Have you linked your basemap to your PMS database? Yes _____ No _____

SCOPE OF WORK

Please select one or more Projects from No. 12-15. If you are interested in more than one project,

To indicate your prioritization place "1-4" in the boxes.

Mail Application to: Sri Srinivasan, MTC, 101 Eighth Street, Oakland, CA 94607-4700

Fax Application to: 510-817-5848



11

11

3

11

5

3

2

Yes No